

REMARKS

The decision reversed the rejection of claims 84-91 under 35 U.S.C. § 112 as indefinite; it reversed the rejection of claims 75-82 and 84-91 as unpatentable over Smotkin in view of Norby, Crome, Ryu or Lybye as well as the rejection of claims 75-82 and 84-91 as unpatentable over WO '777 in view of Norby, Crome, Ryu or Lybye.

The Board maintained only the rejection of claims 75, 76, 80, 81, 84, 85, 89 and 90 as anticipated by Baucke. (Claims 1-74, 83 and 92 were canceled). Thus, the rejection of claims 77, 78, 79, 82, 86, 87, 88 and 91 was reversed, and the Examiner has set a one month time limit in which the appellant may rewrite these dependent claims in independent form. This amendment rewrites the dependent claims in independent form.

The claims are presented in marked-up format in accordance with typical amendment procedure and also in renumbered and clean form.

Applicant has complied with the requirement.

Should there be minor issues remaining that could be resolved by phone, a telephone call to the undersigned is respectfully requested.

Passage of these claims to issue is therefore respectfully requested.

In the unlikely event that the transmittal letter is separated from this document and the Patent Office determines that an extension and/or other relief is required, applicant petitions for any

required relief including extensions of time and authorize the Commissioner to charge the cost of such petitions and/or other fees due in connection with the filing of this document to **Deposit Account No. 03-1952** referencing docket No. 491712000100.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: July 29, 2010

By: _____ / Kate H. Murashige /
Kate H. Murashige
Registration No.: 29,959
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
12531 High Bluff Drive, Suite 100
San Diego, California 92130-2040
Telephone: (858) 720-5112